



RECEIVED
JUL 25 1983

EXECUTIVE DEPT.

State of New Jersey

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

THOMAS W. GREELISH
FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY

DIVISION OF LAW

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SECTION

RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX
CN 112
TRENTON 08625

MICHAEL R. COLE
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
DIRECTOR

LAWRENCE E. STANLEY
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
SECTION CHIEF

JOHN M. VAN DALEN
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
ASSISTANT SECTION CHIEF

TELEPHONE (609) 292-1548

July 21, 1983

Edward J. Egan, Esq.
1073 East Second Street
Box 190
Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076

Re: State of New Jersey, DEP v.
Scientific Chemical Processing Inc., et al.
Docket No. C-1852-83E

Dear Mr. Egan:

I believe that the meeting held on July 19, 1983 between representatives of Inmar, S & W Waste Co. (one of Inmar's proposed cleanup contractors), Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq., Mr. Presto, Mr. Sigmond, Mr. Case and the DEP was helpful to all concerned. Personnel from the DEP who will be involved with supervising the cleanup of the Carlstadt site had the opportunity to review the S & W proposal and to present their comments regarding same. During discussions, DEP personnel requested that S & W provide the following: quality assurance-quality control (QA/QC) program, detailed decontamination procedures, and plans for continuous air monitoring at the site. S & W indicated that this information is available and can be provided forthwith.

After the above discussions, it was agreed between the parties that Inmar would advise the State by July 26, 1983 of the name of the contractor which it intends to hire to undertake the Carlstadt site cleanup. It was further agreed that Inmar's contractor would provide DEP with a more detailed report including information presented in the draft S & W Waste report together with additional materials requested at the July 19, 1983 meeting.



Edward J. Egan, Esq.

July 21, 1983
Page 2


This report is to be forwarded to my attention by August 2, 1983. Finally, personnel from S & W Waste and DEP agreed that they should meet at the Carlstadt site to conduct on-site inspections.

Upon receipt of the above information, I will be in a position to advise Judge Stanton by August 5, 1983 of the status of the Carlstadt cleanup.

Again, I would like to thank you and other representatives of Inmar for meeting with us in connection with this matter.

Very truly yours,

Irwin I. Kimmelman
Attorney General of New Jersey



David W. Reger
Deputy Attorney General

DWR:fad

cc: Jerry Burke, Esq.
Dominick Presto, Esq.
Leif R. Sigmond
Herbert G. Case
Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq.



State of New Jersey

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

THOMAS W. GREELISH
FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY

DIVISION OF LAW

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SECTION

RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX

CN 112

TRENTON 08625

MICHAEL R. COLE
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
DIRECTOR

LAWRENCE E. STANLEY
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
SECTION CHIEF

JOHN M. VAN DALEN
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
ASSISTANT SECTION CHIEF

TELEPHONE (609) 292-1548

July 21, 1983

Dominick Presto, Esq.
Presto & Barbire, Esqs.
18 Glen Road
Rutherford, New Jersey 07070

Re: State of New Jersey, DEP v.
Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc., et al.
Docket No. C-1852-83E

Dear Mr. Presto:

I believe that the meeting held on July 19, 1983 between representatives of Sigmond and Presto, Mr. Sigmond, Mr. Presto, S & W Waste Co. (one of Sigmond and Presto's proposed cleanup contractors), Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq., Edward J. Egan, Esq., Mr. Case and the DEP was helpful to all concerned. Personnel from the DEP who will be involved with supervising the cleanup of the Newark site had the opportunity to review the S & W proposal and to present their comments regarding same. During discussions, DEP personnel requested that S & W provide the following: quality assurance-quality control (QA/QC) program, detailed decontamination procedures, and plans for continuous air monitoring at the site. S & W indicated that this information is available and can be provided forthwith.

After the above discussions, it was agreed between the parties that Sigmond and Presto would advise the State by July 26, 1983 of the name of the contractor which it intends to hire to undertake the Newark site cleanup. It was further agreed that the selected contractor would provide DEP with a more detailed report including information presented in the S & W Waste report,

Dominick Presto, Esq.

July 21, 1983
Page 2


together with the additional materials requested at the July 19, 1983 meeting. This report is to be forwarded to my attention by August 2, 1983. Finally, personnel from S & W Waste and DEP agreed that they should meet at the Newark site to conduct on-site inspections.

Upon receipt of the above information, I will be in a position to advise Judge Stanton by August 5, 1983 of the status of the Newark cleanup.

Again, I would like to thank you and other representatives of Sigmond and Presto for meeting with us in connection with this matter.

Very truly yours,

Irwin I. Kimmelman
Attorney General of New Jersey



David W. Reger
Deputy Attorney General

DWR:fad

cc: Jerry Burke, Esq.
Edward J. Egan, Esq. ✓
Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq.
Leif R. Sigmond
Herbert G. Case